

Potato Progress

Research and Extension for Washington's Potato Industry Published by Washington State Potato Commission www.potatoes.com Andrew Jensen, Editor. Submit articles and comments to: <u>ajensen@potatoes.com</u> 108 Interlake Rd., Moses Lake, WA 98837; Fax: 509-765-4853; Phone: 509-765-8845.

Volume III, Number 16

October 6, 2003

Potato Commission Solicits Research Proposals on Specific Topics

Each year about this time the research committee of the WSPC begins its 6-month process of soliciting, reviewing, and recommending for funding research proposals. Its Request For Proposals (RFP) was completed and distributed in September, and contains the following description of the WSPC research review process (see <u>http://www.potatoes.com/research/rfp/2004.pdf?IDList=19,76</u>):

All WSPC research proposals are reviewed, and rejected or recommended for funding, by the **Research Council** – a group of 17 potato industry representatives. Five of these are WSPC Commissioners, who are part of the **Research Committee**, while the other 12 are volunteers from the potato industry including growers, processors, ag/chem reps, and extension personnel. The members of the Research Council generously donate their time toward continuing top-level research in the potato industry.

Only "new" proposals are reviewed at the Preliminary Research Review in Moses Lake (see schedule below). All principle investigators on "new" projects are expected to present their proposals at that review. What constitutes a "new" proposal is up to the WSPC, and includes entirely new researchers and projects, renewals of projects after the initial project has expired, and continuing projects that have been changed in any significant fashion. A subset of these proposals will be invited back for the Final Research Review in February, contingent upon satisfactory modification as may be suggested by the Research Council. The Final Research Review will span two days, and will entail presentations of results and proposals for all projects: "continuing," "ongoing," and "new." All projects must be presented in person to the Research Council at the Final Research Review, and all presenters are expected to attend the entire research arena, and hopefully foster better research and more cooperation among disciplines.

<u>Schedule (tentative)</u> for proposal submission and Research Reviews:

1. All proposals due: November 15, 2003.

- 2. Preliminary Research Review (Moses Lake): <u>December 17, 2003.</u>
- 3. Revised "new" proposals and progress reports due: January 15, 2004.
- 4. Final Research Review (Pullman): February 12-13, 2004.

In addition to the review process, the RFP describes the extensive reporting requirements that scientists must follow, including newsletter articles, quarterly reports, and annual reports.

Topic Area	Specific Project
Fertility & soils	Optimize nitrogen fertilizer use to reduce costs and increase profits. This project would be expected to examine the best grower practices in detail, and design experiments that lead to improvement to those practices. The project should take into account soil characteristics such as N release rates, crop residue decomposition, micronutrients, etc. The project should include detailed examination of plant physiology as it relates to the fertility regimes under study. These parameters should include tuber set (number and timing), root and shoot growth, etc. The commission expects much of the work to take place in grower-cooperator fields, and to involve experts in soils and horticulture/physiology.
Irrigation	Timing of irrigation as it relates to tuber set in the Skagit Valley. This project should be conducted in the Skagit Valley, and would examine the relationship between irrigation and tuber set in common varieties grown in that area.
Insects & arthropods	Wireworms – with a focus on application methods, timing, etc. in addition to products and rates. This project should address the variability that growers experience in wireworm control from time to time and place to place using the same chemical and rate.
	Seed corn maggot – biology, distribution, damage, etc. This project should focus on the who, what, where, and why of damage to potato seed and young plants related to maggots. It should especially focus on any relationship between maggot infestation and stem soft rots.
Diseases & nematodes	Stem rot. This problem is presumed to be caused by bacteria, but source and timing of inoculum, and methods of control are all unclear. The commission would like to see a project focus on all aspects of this disease, including management recommendations.
Storage	Storage rot control with in-furrow fungicides. There is some indication that treatment of potatoes at planting time with in-furrow fungicides can reduce rot in storage caused by various pathogens. The commission would like to see a trial of all appropriate fungicides in this regard.

This year, the commission requested proposals on the following topics:

The WSPC research review process is open to any interested parties. Meetings will be announced in *Potato Progress*, and we would welcome your participation.

Upcoming Educational Events

- ✓ Hermiston Farm Fair & Trade Show Potato Seminar, December 3.
- ✔ Columbia Basin Potato Workshops
 - January 7, Moses Lake.
 - January 8, Pasco.
- ✓ Washington State Potato Conference and Trade Show, February 3-5, Moses Lake.
- ✓ Western Washington Potato Workshop, February 27, Mount Vernon.

Mustard Green Manure Field Day

Thursday, October 23rd, 2003 10 am at the Dale Gies Farm

1.5 miles west of Rd. M on Rd. 5 SE Moses Lake, Washington

New Information onEarly plantingWind erosion controlMustard varieties

And Two Nematologists

<u>Ekaterini Riga</u>, WSU-Prosser will talk about her screening of mustards and other brassicas for nematode control

<u>Russ Ingham</u>, OSU, will talk about his work using combinations of green manures and nematicides

For More Information Call Andy McGuire Center for Sustaining Agriculture and Natural Resources WSU Cooperative Extension, Grant-Adams Area 509-754-2011 ext. 413, amcguire@wsu.edu

Also Sponsored by •High Performance Seed, Moses Lake, WA. •McKay Seed, Moses Lake, WA. •Spectrum Crop Development, Ritzville, WA.

Washington Potato Acreage, Production, and Storage Data

Stocks on Hand (000 cwt)

						Stocks on Hand (000 Cwt)						
		Yield Per										
Crop		Harvested Acre		Production	Dec. 1	Jan. 1	Feb. 1	Mar. 1	Apr. 1	May 1	June 1	
Year	Acreage	(cwt)	Tons/A	(000cwt)								
1966	58,000	376	18.8	21,830	18,300		5,500	3,950				
1967	64,000	345	17.3	22,090	10,660		6,600	4,400				
1968	64,000	378	18.9	24,173	10,430	,	7,050	5,100				
1969	71,700	415	20.8	29,796			10,300	7,800				
1970	87,000	386	19.3	33,590	18,500	16,000	12,500	9,700				
1971	78,000	386	19.3	30,110	16,450	13,500	10,350	7,500				
1972	75,000	418	20.9	31,365	15,800	13,400	10,300	7,100	4,200			
1973	82,000	430	21.5	35,260	18,600	15,600	12,600	9,100	5,500			
1974	98,000	420	21.0	41,160	22,500	20,500	16,800	12,800	8,900			
1975	105,000	460	23.0	48,300	27,900	24,100	19,900	11,500	10,000			
1976	124,000	450	22.5	55,800	33,200	29,700	25,000	20,100	15,200			
1977	110,000	460	23.0	50,600	28,400	24,700	20,800	15,900	11,300			
1978	109,000	465	23.3	50,685	32,000	28,800	24,000	19,300	14,500	9,500		
1979	103,000	475	23.8	48,450	30,800	27,300	23,300	19,000	14,400	10,500		
1980	87,000	505	25.3	43,935	24,300	22,000	18,500	14,600	10,900	7,200		
1981	108,000	490	24.5	52,920	29,200	25,100	21,000	17,000	12,600	8,200		
1982	110,000	480	24.0	52,800	29,200	25,100	21,600	17,100	13,200	8,600		
1983	103,000	520	26.0	53,560	29,500	25,600	21,800	16,500	11,000	7,100		
1984	115,000	495	24.8	56,925	29,600	25,900	20,800	16,600	11,300	7,000		
1985	127,000	505	24.3	61,100	33,500	30,000	25,700	21,000	16,200	9,700		
	118,000	510	25.5	60,200	,	,	,	,	,	,		
	,			,	,	,	,	,	,	,		
	<i>,</i>			,	,	,	,	,	,	,		
	,			,	,	,	,	,	,	,		
											7,500	
											7,000	
	,			,	,	,	,	,	,	,	13,000	
											10,000	
					,	,	,	,	,	,	12,000	
		200	20.0	,200	55,000	10,500	10,000	55,000	25,500	17,500	12,000	
1981 1982 1983	108,000 110,000 103,000 115,000	490 480 520 495	24.5 24.0 26.0 24.8	52,920 52,800 53,560 56,925	29,200 29,200 29,500 33,500 32,300 36,600 36,700 34,500 35,500 37,000 31,000 43,500 47,500 39,500 48,000 47,000 48,000 59,000 53,000	25,100 25,100 25,600 25,900 30,000 28,000 32,100 30,400 29,500 32,200 26,700 38,500 43,000 33,000 42,000 41,500 41,500 41,500	21,000 21,600 21,800 25,700 24,400 28,300 27,700 24,500 24,500 24,500 32,000 37,500 36,500 36,500 36,500 36,500 44,500	17,000 17,100 16,500	12,600 13,200 11,000 11,300 16,200 14,600 17,500 16,200 13,100 15,100 15,000 20,000 23,500 18,000 23,500 23,500 21,500 29,500 25,000		7,00 13,0 10,0	

Data from National Agricultural Statistics Service